

Application No.

09/041,218



Sermono a me

Applicant(s)

Markham

medivious Gammary		Essential Louis Lo	
Interview Summary	Examiner THOMAS PRICE	Group Art Unit 3616	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	, PTO personnel):		
(1) THOMAS PRICE	(3)		
(2) BRENT JOHNSON	(4)		
Date of Interview Jul 10, 1998			
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to	to 🗌 applicant 🛭 applicant's	representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	s 🛭 No. If yes, brief descriptio	n:	
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 6, 12, and 15		W 10 8 0 B 3	
Identification of prior art discussed: PRIOR ART OF RECORD AND "RECIPE FOR A PERFE	ECT DOG".		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed AGREED TO CANCEL CLAIM 2, AND INCORPORATE DRAWN TO INNER AND OUTER RIBS IN RESPONSE PERFECT DOG", AND IT WAS AGREED THAT CLAIM AMENDED IN SIMILAR FASHION AS CLAIM 1. NO	E THE LIMITATIONS INTO CLAIM TO A DRAWING OBJECTION. DI M 6 IS STILL BELIEVED TO BE ALL	1 WITH FURTHER LIMITAT SCUSSED THE "RECIPE FO OWABLE. CLAIM 12 WIL	OR A
AGREED TO CANCEL CLAIM 2, AND INCORPORATE DRAWN TO INNER AND OUTER RIBS IN RESPONSE PERFECT DOG", AND IT WAS AGREED THAT CLAIM AMENDED IN SIMILAR FASHION AS CLAIM 1. NO	E THE LIMITATIONS INTO CLAIM TO A DRAWING OBJECTION. DI M 6 IS STILL BELIEVED TO BE ALL AGREEMENT REACHED ON CLAIM	1 WITH FURTHER LIMITATE SCUSSED THE "RECIPE FO OWABLE. CLAIM 12 WIL M 15.	DR A L BE
AGREED TO CANCEL CLAIM 2, AND INCORPORATE DRAWN TO INNER AND OUTER RIBS IN RESPONSE PERFECT DOG", AND IT WAS AGREED THAT CLAIM AMENDED IN SIMILAR FASHION AS CLAIM 1. NO (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the	E THE LIMITATIONS INTO CLAIM TO A DRAWING OBJECTION. DI M 6 IS STILL BELIEVED TO BE ALL AGREEMENT REACHED ON CLAIM amendments, if available, which the	1 WITH FURTHER LIMITATE SCUSSED THE "RECIPE FOOWABLE. CLAIM 12 WIL 15. The examiner agreed would	DR A L BE
AGREED TO CANCEL CLAIM 2, AND INCORPORATE DRAWN TO INNER AND OUTER RIBS IN RESPONSE PERFECT DOG", AND IT WAS AGREED THAT CLAIM AMENDED IN SIMILAR FASHION AS CLAIM 1. NO (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where	E THE LIMITATIONS INTO CLAIM TO A DRAWING OBJECTION. DI M 6 IS STILL BELIEVED TO BE ALL AGREEMENT REACHED ON CLAIM amendments, if available, which the no copy of the amendents which	1 WITH FURTHER LIMITATESCUSSED THE "RECIPE FOOWABLE. CLAIM 12 WILL 15. The examiner agreed would would render the claims all	DR A L BE
AGREED TO CANCEL CLAIM 2, AND INCORPORATE DRAWN TO INNER AND OUTER RIBS IN RESPONSE PERFECT DOG", AND IT WAS AGREED THAT CLAIM AMENDED IN SIMILAR FASHION AS CLAIM 1. NO. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	E THE LIMITATIONS INTO CLAIM TO A DRAWING OBJECTION. DI M 6 IS STILL BELIEVED TO BE ALL AGREEMENT REACHED ON CLAIM amendments, if available, which the no copy of the amendents which separate record of the substance of licate to the contrary, A FORMAL INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF The ion has already been filed, APPLIC	1 WITH FURTHER LIMITATE SCUSSED THE "RECIPE FOOWABLE. CLAIM 12 WILL M 15. The examiner agreed would would render the claims all of the interview. WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEI ANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT	or A L BE render owable

THOMAS PRICE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3616